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## From the Chairman

|f there is to be any road sign along the information highway into the cyber world it should read "User Beware"; for all too often, we read about people who fall victim to online schemes and scams. The SKMM Household Use of the Internet Survey 2008 (HUIS 2008) polled users of the Internet who click happily away from the comfort of their homes. It revealed that as high as 71.5 percent of these users do not know what phishing is or how it operates to ensnare them. However, it is comforting at the same time to note that as high as 85 percent of users, instinctively shy away from risky behaviour such as clicking on e-mailed links that lead to spoofed websites designed to steal personal data.

These are among some of the findings from the HUIS 2008 that canvassed some 5000 home Internet users to probe on current issues and concerns regarding Internet usage.

The HUIS 2008 is the third in a series of surveys conducted by the SKMM from its Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) Centre. The reports from the surveys have proven useful to many users and indeed there have been calls to carry out the survey at more frequent intervals. The present report also brings the corresponding findings and figures of the 2005 and 2006 editions of the HUIS alongside those of 2008 so as to put in perspective, the trend over the period 2005-2008.

The response rate to SKMM surveys has always been high. The HUIS 2008 garnered a response rate of 78.0 percent. I would like to thank those who responded to the survey for without their cooperation this survey would not have been possible. Finally, I would also like to commend the statisticians at SKMM for a job well done.


## Datuk Dr. Halim bin Shafie

Chairman
Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (SKMM)

## Introduction

The Household Use of the Internet Survey 2008 (HUIS 2008) is the third in the series of purpose built surveys conducted by the Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (SKMM). The main objectives of the HUIS 2008 were to collect data for the compilation of descriptive statistics pertaining to access and use of the Internet in Malaysian homes. Two types of data were collected, a core set and a trends set. The core set consisted of key questions canvassed in all editions of the survey to provide a time series that will grow as future surveys are undertaken to allow meaningful tracking. For instance, proportions of household Internet users that fell into the various
 categorisation schemes of the key variables were calculated and proper use of these estimated proportions in conjunction with population estimates at like reference date will facilitate the derivation of various Internet penetration rates.

The core data were demographic and socio-economic in nature and included:

| a. age | b. gender |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. marital status | d. usual state of residence |
| e. location of users (urban/rural) | f. occupational status |
| g. educational attainment | h. income |
| i. number of Internet users in the household |  |

The set of questions touching on trends probed current trends in usage and these may change from one survey to another.

Trends studied in HUIS 2008 were:

| a. type of access | b. awareness of broadband |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. use of peer 2 peer applications | d. average use per week |
| e. purpose for use of the Internet | f. activity on government websites |
| g. knowledge of blogs | h. knowledge of digital signature |
| i. use of instant messaging | j. knowledge of phishing |
| k. other modes of access in addition to household <br> dial-up and xDSL |  |

## Survey Metadata

## Reference Date

The reference date of the survey was set at 31st March 2008. To qualify for inclusion into the sample, a potential respondent must be able to answer "yes" to a screening question on whether he was a user at that date.

## Target Population

In the context of this survey, an Internet user is defined as someone, regardless of age, who accessed the Internet for whatever purpose at least once in the past month. Hence the target population is the universe of Internet users who access the Internet from a dialup or xDSL from their own homes at least once in the past month. Note however that in so far as an individual is concerned, this need not be the exclusive means and/or location of access. Other means could be in a hotspot or even at work. Such usage was not covered in this survey. Users of wireless access were also excluded from this survey. It is to be noted that a 'user' is not the same as a 'subscriber'.

## Sampling Scheme

This is not a household survey in the conventional sense and the survey was not founded on a household frame.

Two stages were identified in the selection of a respondent.
In the first stage, unique randomly generated PSTN numbers were dialed to screen out numbers other than those of private households. When a household is reached, it is screened to see if it is Internet equipped either via dial-up or xDSL at reference date. If it is, then a user from that household is selected at random. This is done by asking to speak to the Internet user, in that household, whose birthday is next.

## Sample Size

With a predetermined $\alpha=0.01$ and $d=0.02$ a random sample of 4,925 Internet users in households were drawn to provide national estimates. This survey was not designed to provide sub-national or sub-group estimates.

Stratification was not done because a suitable stratification variable was not available.

## Data Collection

The survey was conducted by trained interviewers operating out of SKMM CATI Centre located at Wisma Pahlawan, Kuala Lumpur.

In both user and non-user instances, pains were taken to explain to respondents the purposes and objectives of the survey.

Fieldwork started on 5 April 2008 and ended on 17 June 2008.
Response rate to the survey was $78.11 \%$.

## Main Findings

The main findings of the survey are presented below. Note that percentages may not add up to 100 because of rounding. They may also exceed 100 by a substantial amount in the case of questions that allowed multiple responses.

## Number of Users

The HUIS 2008 determined that on average, each household account is shared by 2.26 users. This means that there were on average
 1.9 million household Internet users on dial-up and xDSL in the country as at 31st March 2008.

## Nationality



As at 31st March 2008, 98.7 percent of users who accessed the Internet from home were Malaysians while 1.3 percent were non-Malaysians.

## Gender



The survey found that 51.9 percent of Malaysian home users were males, while 48.1 percent were females. The chart below presents findings from the 2005 and 2006 surveys for comparison.


## Age Distribution

The table below shows the percentage share of the household user base across age groups:

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age category | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Below 15 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.8 |
| $15-19$ | 18.6 | 18.7 | 17.9 |
| $20-24$ | 17.2 | 16.3 | 15.7 |
| $25-29$ | 12.5 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| $30-34$ | 12.2 | 12.3 | 11.7 |
| $35-39$ | 9.9 | 10.4 | 11.2 |
| $40-44$ | 9.6 | 10.6 | 9.3 |
| $45-49$ | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| 50 and above | 8.4 | 7.1 | 9.4 |

The relatively smaller group of users aged below 15 years in contrast with that of the ' 15 to 19 year olds' seems to indicate that interest in Internet usage starts in earnest when students are in upper secondary schools beginning with the ninth year of formal education in Malaysia. This interest appears to take hold through to the next age cohort where respondents pursue their first degrees, possibly as a result of intensifying usage of ICT in institution of higher learning in Malaysia.

In broad generational groups, the percentages are as follows:


|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age category | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 |
| Pre Teens \& Teens (up to 19) | 25.1 | 26.0 | 24.7 |
| Adults (20-49) | 66.5 | 67.0 | 65.8 |
| Seniors (50+) | 8.4 | 7.1 | 9.4 |

## Education Level of Users

Users who had already finished schooling formed the largest group accounting for 65.1 percent of all users.


Among them, the largest groups are those who have a university degree or higher (35.1 percent), followed by those who have some secondary education ( 31.5 percent), and those who have a diploma (30.9 percent).

Those with some primary education and those with no formal education account for 1.3 percent each.

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Highest level of education | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 |
| None | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Primary | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Secondary | 37.7 | 32.0 | 31.5 |
| Diploma | 25.4 | 28.9 | 30.9 |
| Degree and above | 35.4 | 37.4 | 35.1 |

## Marital Status

Survey results shows that more than half of home users are single. They accounted for 53.7 percent of users. 46.0 percent were married while those who are widowed and divorced shared the same percentage of 0.1 percent each.


|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital status | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 |
| Single | 55.0 | 55.2 | 53.7 |
| Married | 44.3 | 44.6 | 46.0 |
| Widowed | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Divorced | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Urban-Rural Distribution

Urban users outnumber rural users 6 to 1 . This has been a recurrent finding of the survey since the first in 2005.

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 |
| Urban | 88.0 | 82.0 | 85.3 |
| Rural | 12.0 | 18.0 | 14.7 |



## Usual State of Residence



As in previous years, the Klang Valley conurbation had the highest percentage of users. Selangor registered 27.2 percent while WP Kuala Lumpur 13.0 percent. Percentage share for the rest of the states are shown in the table below:

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State of residence | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 |
| Johor | 10.4 | 12.1 | 11.6 |
| Kedah | 6.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Kelantan | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Melaka | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| Negeri Sembilan | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| Pahang | 3.7 | 3.6 | 8.4 |
| Pulau Pinang | 10.7 | 9.3 | 8.1 |
| Perak | 8.5 | 8.2 | 0.6 |
| Perlis | 0.6 | 0.6 | 26.1 |
| Selangor | 23.9 | 27.2 | 2.5 |
| Terengganu | 2.6 | 2.4 | 5.0 |
| Sabah | 5.0 | 4.8 | 6.3 |
| Sarawak | 6.8 | 6.1 | 13.0 |

When charted, the distribution of users shows a consistent pattern through the years 2005 to 2008.


## Employment Status

Survey results show that employees made up the highest percentage of the users ( 43.0 percent). In contrast, the self-employed and employers made up only 6.0 percent and 5.5 percent respectively. Students accounted for a sizeable 31.9 percent while those unemployed including housewives and retirees 13.6 percent.

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Employment status | 2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Employer | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
| Employed | 39.0 | 43.8 | 43.0 |
| Self-employed | 8.8 | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Unemployed | 12.2 | 12.1 | 13.6 |
| Student | 34.7 | 33.0 | 31.9 |

## Monthly Income Category

Most Internet users earned RM1,000 to RM3,000 per month. This group accounted for 46.1 percent of all users. Those in the RM3,000 to RM5,000 bracket were the second largest group of users with 27.5 percent within its ranks. The positive skewness in income distribution is borne out in the chart
 below:


## Methods of Internet Connection

Most home users accessed the Internet via a broadband connection, making up 73.9 percent of all users. The other mode of access is dial-up which accounted for 25.0 percent. The remaining 1.0 percent used both.


## Awareness of Broadband

Among dial-up users, 35.9 percent were still not aware of broadband.


## Average Monthly Internet Bill

Most home users spent between RM61 to RM70 a month on Internet access (19.0 percent), followed by those who averaged RM71 to RM80 (16.1 percent) and RM81 to RM90 (12.8 percent).

On weighted average, home users spent RM64.15 a month on Internet access.

## Number of Internet Users Per Household

The survey observed that single user households outnumber all others irrespective of mode of access. This is borne out in the table below:

| Number of users in household | Dial-up | By Internet connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 48.3 | Broadband | Both |
| 2 | 26.8 | 38.8 | 27.5 |
| 3 | 12.5 | 24.1 | 30.0 |
| 4 | 6.5 | 16.0 | 12.5 |
| 5 | 4.3 | 11.3 | 15.0 |
| 6 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 2.5 |
| 7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 5.0 |
| More than 7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 5.0 |

The weighted average number of users is 2.26 per household in 2008 compared to 2.51 in 2006 and 2.47 in 2005.

## Intensity of Use

The average home user spent some 12 hours per week on the Internet.

On analysis, 28.0 percent of home users averaged less than 4 hours per week, while 23.2 percent put in between 4 to 8 hours and 19.3 percent reported between 8 and 15 hours of use. This tapered off as shown in the chart below except for a fringe group of 16.0 percent that chalked up usage of 28 hours or more in a week.



## Activity on the Internet

The two main reasons that users went online were to get information ( 94.4 percent) and to communicate ( 84.7 percent). This was followed by educational purposes ( 64.5 percent) and leisure purposes ( 63.5 percent). Only 31.8 percent do Internet banking while 29.2 percent access public service website with only 19.8 percent doing e-government transactions. Online stock trading drew the least with 5.9 percent of users reporting usage.

|  | Percentage share of household user base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internet usage | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Getting information | 40.5 | 84.5 | 94.4 |
| Communications by text | 99.6 | 80.7 | 84.7 |
| Leisure | 47.1 | 52.7 | 63.5 |
| Education | 46.8 | 45.9 | 64.5 |
| Financial activities | 14.6 | 23.6 | 31.8 |
| Public services | 12.7 | 12.0 | 29.2 |
| e-Government transactions ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | 19.8 |
| Online stock trading |  | - | 5.9 |
| Others | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| ${ }^{1}$ not canvassed in 2005 and 2006 survey. |  |  |  |

Of those visiting government websites, the overwhelming majority (92.1 percent) wanted to search for information. 63.9 percent went to download information while 53.4 percent downloaded forms and 44.1 percent made online enquiries. Those who lodged complaints or got advice through the websites account for 19.6 percent and 18.6 percent respectively.


## Use of Peer to Peer Application

Most users of peer 2 peer application used media streaming ( 42.6 percent) followed by file sharing ( 25.1 percent), discussion forum ( 23.5 percent) and telephony ( 19.9 percent).


## Blogs

## Knowledge of Blogs


63.5 percent of home users knew what blogs are with 9.5 percent of them having their own blogs.

## Access to Blog



Among those who knew what blogs are, only 43.9 percent of them accessed blogs.

## Type of Blog Frequently Visited

Blogging communities and directories rate the highest ( 63.5 percent), followed by media blogs ( 47.2 percent), blog search engines ( 42.8 percent), business blogs ( 19.6 percent) and other blogs (17.5 percent).


## Messenger Usage

Use of Instant Messaging

58.3 percent of home users used Instant Messaging.

The most popular are Yahoo Messenger and Windows Messenger both garnering 58.5 percent each. GoogleTalk and Skype accounted for 17.0 percent and 14.0 percent respectively.

## Reasons for use of Instant Messaging

Communications with family and friends rated highly in instant messaging ( 95.0 percent). This is followed by making new friends ( 33.1 percent), study discussion ( 31.0 percent) and business communications ( 13.6 percent).


## Phishing

71.5 percent of home users did not know what phishing is.


However, on the positive side, as high as 84.6 percent were savvy enough not to click on just about any link that comes with an e-mail.


## Digital Signature

Only 27.6 percent of home users knew about digital signatures.

28.8 percent of them knew how to go about getting a digital signature.


## Access Internet from Other Places

Other than surfing at home, users also surf in the workplace ( 54.5 percent), public places such as Internet cafés ( 43.4 percent), schools ( 27.8 percent) and hotspots (21.6 percent).



Among those using public hotspots, only 9.5 percent frequented such places on a daily basis, 26.6 percent, once a week while 14.9 percent went on average once in 2 weeks. The majority, 35.6 percent, however averaged a visit per month.


## Tables

Caution is required in the use of the estimates tabulated below.
Whilst the SKMM takes every care to minimise non-sampling errors, which cannot be quantified, the estimates presented are also subject to sampling errors, which is a measure of the chance variation that occurs because a sample, and not the entire population is canvassed. The sampling error of an estimate is usually expressed as a percentage of that estimate to give the relative sampling error (RSE) of that estimate.

In general, estimates that are small are subject to high RSEs. As a guide, only estimates with RSEs of 25 percent or less are considered reliable for general use. Estimates with RSEs greater than 25\% but less than or equal to 50 percent or less are denoted with asterisks in these tables and should be used with caution while those with RSEs greater than 50 percent are denoted by two asterisks and are considered too unreliable for general use. However, these estimates may be aggregated with others until an RSE of less than 25 percent is obtained.

Confidence intervals for very small estimates should be based on the binomial distribution rather than the normal approximation to the binomial. As an alternative, the method of Korn and Graubard, 1998 may also be used.

Percentages may not add up to 100 because of rounding. They may also exceed 100 by a substantial amount in the case of questions that allowed multiple responses.

Table 1

| Nationality | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysian | 98.7 | 0.2 |
| Others | 1.3 | 13.9 |

Table 2

| Gender | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 51.9 | 1.6 |
| Female | 48.1 | 1.7 |

Table 3

| Age | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below 15 | 6.8 | 6.0 |
| $15-19$ | 17.9 | 3.4 |
| $20-24$ | 15.7 | 3.7 |
| $25-29$ | 11.9 | 4.4 |
| $30-34$ | 11.7 | 4.4 |
| $35-39$ | 11.2 | 4.5 |
| $40-44$ | 9.3 | 5.0 |
| $45-49$ | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| 50 and above | 9.4 | 5.0 |

Table 4

| Broad age bands | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pre teens \& teens (up to 19) | 24.7 | 2.8 |
| Adults (20+) | 65.8 | 1.2 |
| Senior (50+) | 9.4 | 5.0 |

Table 5

| Schooling status | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time | 31.9 | 2.4 |
| Part-time | 3.0 | 9.1 |
| No | 65.1 | 1.2 |

Table 6

| Educational attainment | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| None | 1.3 | 17.3 |
| Primary School | 1.3 | 17.0 |
| Secondary School | 31.5 | 2.9 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 30.9 | 2.9 |
| Degree | 29.1 | 3.1 |
| Master and above | 6.0 | 7.7 |

Table 7

| Marital status | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 53.7 | 1.5 |
| Married | 46.0 | 1.7 |
| Widowed | $0.1^{*}$ | 44.7 |
| Divorced | $0.1^{*}$ | 44.7 |

Table 8

| Urban-Rural distribution | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Urban | 85.3 | 0.7 |
| Rural | 14.7 | 3.9 |

Table 9

| State | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Johor | 11.6 | 4.5 |
| Kedah | 4.4 | 7.5 |
| Kelantan | 2.6 | 10.0 |
| Melaka | 4.1 | 7.8 |
| Negeri Sembilan | 3.8 | 8.1 |
| Pahang | 3.6 | 8.4 |
| Pulau Pinang | 8.4 | 5.3 |
| Perak | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| Perlis | 0.6 | 21.8 |
| Selangor | 26.1 | 2.7 |
| Terengganu | 2.5 | 10.1 |
| Sabah | 4.8 | 7.2 |
| Sarawak | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| WP Kuala Lumpur | 13.0 | 4.2 |

Table 10

| Employment status | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed | 13.6 | 4.1 |
| Employed | 43.0 | 1.9 |
| Employer | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| Self-employed | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Student | 31.9 | 2.4 |

Table 11

| Income category | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than RM1,000 | 8.0 | 7.6 |
| RM1,000-RM 3,000 | 46.1 | 2.4 |
| RM3,000 but less than RM5,000 | 27.5 | 3.7 |
| RM5,000 and above | 18.4 | 4.7 |

Table 12

| Internet connectivity | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dial-up | 25.0 | 2.8 |
| Broadband | 73.9 | 1.0 |
| Both (Dial-up \& Broadband) | 1.0 | 15.7 |

Table 13

| Awareness of broadband | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 64.1 | 2.4 |
| No | 35.9 | 4.2 |

Table 14

| Internet bill in a month | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| <RM10 | 4.7 | 7.3 |
| RM11-RM20 | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| RM21-RM30 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| RM31-RM40 | 2.5 | 10.0 |
| RM41-RM50 | 9.7 | 4.9 |
| RM51-RM60 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| RM61-RM70 | 19.0 | 3.3 |
| RM71-RM80 | 16.1 | 3.7 |
| RM81-RM90 | 12.8 | 4.2 |
| RM91-RM100 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| RM101-RM150 | 2.8 | 9.4 |
| RM151-RM200 | 0.4 | 24.2 |
| >RM200 | 0.6 | 21.8 |
| Don't Know | 15.1 | 3.8 |

Table 15

| Number of users <br> in household | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dial-up | RSE | Broadband | RSE | Both | RSE |
| 1 | 48.3 | 3.3 | 38.8 | 2.4 | $27.5^{*}$ | 25.7 |
| 2 | 26.8 | 5.3 | 24.1 | 3.3 | 30.0 | 24.2 |
| 3 | 12.5 | 8.5 | 16.0 | 4.3 | $12.5^{* *}$ | 41.8 |
| 4 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 5.2 | $15.0^{*}$ | 37.6 |
| 5 | 4.3 | 15.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | $2.5^{* *}$ | 98.7 |
| 6 | $1.0^{*}$ | 31.5 | 2.2 | 12.5 | $5.0^{* *}$ | 68.9 |
| 7 | $0.2^{* *}$ | 70.6 | 0.8 | 21.2 | $5.0^{* *}$ | 68.9 |
| More than 7 | $0.4^{*}$ | 49.9 | $0.5^{*}$ | 25.8 | $2.5^{* *}$ | 98.7 |

Table 16

| Average hours of use a week | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 4 hours per week | 28.0 | 2.6 |
| 4 but less than 8 hours per week | 23.2 | 2.9 |
| 8 but less than 15 hours per week | 19.3 | 3.3 |
| 15 but less than 22 hours per week | 8.4 | 5.3 |
| 22 but less than 28 hours per week | 5.2 | 6.9 |
| 28 hours per week and above | 16.0 | 3.7 |

Table 17

| Activity on the Internet | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Getting information | 94.4 | 0.4 |
| Communications by text | 84.7 | 0.7 |
| Leisure | 63.5 | 1.2 |
| Education | 64.5 | 1.2 |
| Financial activities | 31.8 | 2.4 |
| Online stock trading | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| Public services | 29.2 | 2.5 |
| e-Government transactions | 19.8 | 3.2 |
| Others | 0.7 | 19.5 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 18

| Activity on government website | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Search for information | 92.1 | 1.1 |
| Download application form | 53.4 | 3.4 |
| Download information | 63.9 | 2.7 |
| Enquiries (E-mail, message board, etc.) | 44.1 | 4.1 |
| Complain (E-mail, message board etc.) | 19.8 | 7.3 |
| Advice (E-mail, message board, etc.) | 18.6 | 7.6 |
| Others | 3.5 | 18.9 |

Multiple response type question.

Table 19

| Internet broadband by using <br> applications | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| File sharing | 25.8 | 3.2 |
| Telephony | 19.9 | 3.7 |
| Media streaming | 42.6 | 2.2 |
| Discussion forums | 23.5 | 3.4 |
| Others | 1.6 | 14.5 |
| Not use | 42.9 | 2.1 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 20

| Awareness of blog | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 63.5 | 1.2 |
| No | 36.5 | 2.1 |

Table 21

| Visit blogs | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 43.9 | 2.3 |
| No | 56.1 | 1.8 |

Table 22

| Blogs frequently visited | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Blogging communities and directories | 63.5 | 2.3 |
| Blog search engines | 42.8 | 3.5 |
| Business blog | 19.6 | 6.2 |
| Media blog | 47.2 | 3.2 |
| Others | 17.5 | 6.6 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 23

| Have own blog | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 9.5 | 6.3 |
| No | 90.5 | 0.7 |

Table 24

| Instant Messaging | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 58.3 | 1.4 |
| No | 41.7 | 1.9 |

Table 25

| Type of Instant Messaging | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yahoo Messenger | 58.5 | 1.8 |
| GoogleTalk | 17.0 | 4.7 |
| Windows Messenger | 58.4 | 1.8 |
| Skype | 14.0 | 5.2 |
| ICQ | 3.2 | 11.6 |
| Meebo | 2.2 | 14.1 |
| AIM | 0.8 | 23.5 |
| Others | 1.6 | 16.5 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 26

| Reason for using Instant Messaging | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Business communication | 13.6 | 5.3 |
| Study discussion | 31.0 | 3.2 |
| Family, friends communications | 95.0 | 0.5 |
| Chatting with new friends | 33.1 | 3.0 |
| Others | 0.8 | 24.2 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 27

| Awareness of phishing | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 28.5 | 2.6 |
| No | 71.5 | 1.0 |

Table 28

| Click on a link provided with an email | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 15.4 | 3.8 |
| No | 84.6 | 0.7 |

Table 29

| Knowledge of digital signature | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 27.6 | 2.6 |
| No | 72.4 | 1.0 |

Table 30

| Know how to get digital signature | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 28.8 | 4.8 |
| No | 71.2 | 2.0 |

Table 31

| Access from other places | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 59.8 | 1.3 |
| No | 40.2 | 2.0 |

Table 32

| Access from: | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public (Library, Internet café, etc.) | 43.4 | 2.4 |
| Hotspot | 21.6 | 4.0 |
| School | 27.8 | 3.4 |
| Work | 54.5 | 1.9 |

Multiple response type question.
Table 33

| Use of public Wi-Fi/Hotspot | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Everyday | 9.5 | 13.9 |
| Once a week | 26.6 | 7.5 |
| Once in 2 weeks | 14.9 | 10.7 |
| Once a month | 35.6 | 6.0 |
| Others | 13.5 | 11.4 |


| FOR MORE STATISTICS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| WEBSITE | The SKMM website contains more statistics pertaining to the Communications and <br> Multimedia industry. This is updated every calendar quarter. <br> The SKMM website is at www.skmm.gov.my |
| STATISTICAL BULLETIN | The SKMM publishes the following: <br> 1. Communications \& Multimedia: Selected Facts \& Figures, (ISSN:1675-6223), <br> a quarterly statistical bulletin of the communications \& multimedia industry. <br> 2. Postal \& Courier Services: Selected Facts \& Figures (ISSN: 1823-9919) a half <br> yearly statistical bulletin of the postal \& courier industry. <br> Contact the SKMM for more details. |
| STATISTICAL BRIEF | The Statistical Brief series (ISSN: 1823-2523) is issued by the SKMM to disseminate <br> survey findings and statistical updates. These briefs are aimed at the general to <br> intermediate user audience. |
| Titles in this series so far: <br> Statistical Brief Number One <br> Hand Phone Users Survey 2004 |  |
| Statistical Brief Number Two <br> Household Use of the Internet Survey 2005 <br> Statistical Brief Number Three <br> Hand Phone Users Survey 2005 |  |
| Statistical Brief Number Four <br> Hand Phone Users Survey 2006 |  |
| Statistical Brief Number Five |  |
| Household Use of the Internet Survey 2006 |  |

## SKMM REGIONAL OFFICES

Northern Regional Office
Tingkat 1, Bangunan Tabung Haji Jalan Bagan Luar 12000 Butterworth
T: +604 3238228
F: +604 3239448

Eastern Regional Office
B8004 Tingkat 1
Sri Kuantan Square
Jalan Telok Sisek
25200 Kuantan
Pahang
T: +609 5150078
F: +609 5157566

## Southern Regional Office

Suite 7A, Level 7
Menara Ansar
Jalan Trus
80000 Johor Bahru
Johor
T: +607 2266700
F: +607 2278700

Sabah Regional Office
6-10-10, 10th Floor
No. 6 Menara MAA
Lorong Api-Api 1, Api Api Centre
88000 Kota Kinabalu
Sabah
T: +608 8270550
F: +608 8253205

Sandakan Branch Office
Lot No. 7, Block 30
Bandar Indah Phase 6, Batu 4
Jalan Utara, 90000 Sandakan
Sabah
T: +6089227350
F: +608 9227352
Central Regional Office
Level 17, Wisma SunwayMas
1, Jalan Tengku Ampuan Zabedah
C9/C Section 9
40100 Shah Alam
Selangor
T: +603 55187701
F: +603 55187710

Sarawak Regional Office
Level 5 (North), Wisma STA
26, Jalan Datuk Abang Abdul Rahim
93450 Kuching
Sarawak
T: +608 2331900
F: +608 2331901

Miri Branch Office
Lot 1385, 1st Floor, Block 10
Centre Point Phase II
98000 Miri
Sarawak
T: +608 5417400/600
F: +608 5417900

Suruhanjaya Komunikasi dan Multimedia Malaysia Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission

Off Persiaran Multimedia
63000 Cyberjaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
T: +603 86888000 F: +603 86881006
E: ccd@skmm.gov.my
W: www.skmm.gov.my


